

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED & ENTERED

NOV 21 2022

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
By Francis DEPUTY CLERK

In re:

Sonia Elizabeth Tobar,

Case No.: 2:22-bk-11864-SK

Chapter: 13

Adversary No.: 2:22-ap-01138-SK

Debtor,

Lidia Magana, Fernando Panameno, Reyna
Panameno, Teresa Vasquez,

Plaintiff),

vs.

Sonia Tobar,

Defendant.

**ORDER DISMISSING AND DIRECTING
CLERK TO CLOSE ADVERSARY
PROCEEDING**

On 4/1/22, Debtor filed a chapter 13 bankruptcy petition, In re Sonia Elizabeth Tobar, 2:22-bk-11864-SK. On 7/5/22, Teresa Vasquez, Fernando Panameno, Reyna Panameno, and Lidia Magana filed a Complaint, which initiated this adversary proceeding. On 10/18/22, Debtor's bankruptcy case was dismissed. BK Docket #66.


When considering whether to retain jurisdiction over an adversary proceeding after the underlying bankruptcy case has been dismissed, bankruptcy courts must consider the following factors: 1) economy; 2) convenience; 3) fairness; and 4) comity. In re Carraher, 971 F.2d 327, 328 (9th Cir. 1992). The Court has considered each of the factors listed in Carraher,

1 and has determined that they weigh against retaining jurisdiction over this adversary
2 proceeding.

3
4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 5 1. This adversary proceeding is dismissed; and
6
7 2. The clerk is directed to close this adversary proceeding.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 Date: November 21, 2022

26 
27 Sandra R. Klein
28 United States Bankruptcy Judge